

```

F1: spec(mach1,ibmpc,320).
F2: spec(mach2,mac,1000).
F3: spec(mach3,ibmpc,640).
F4: runs(ibmpc,spreadsheet,500).
F5: runs(ibmpc,basic,128).
F6: runs(ibmpc,pascal,256).
F7: runs(mac,basic,200).
F8: runs(mac,smalltalk,1000).
F9: access(sue,mach1).
F10: access(jerry,mach3).
F11: access(sam,mach1).
F12: access(sam,mach2).
F13: written_in(spreadsheet,pascal).
R1: can_use(P,SW) :- access(P,M),
                    can_run(M,SW).
R2: can_run(M,SW) :- spec(M,HW,Mem1),
                    runs(HW,SW,Mem2),
                    Mem1>=Mem2.
R3: can_run(M,SW) :- written_in(SW,L),can_run(M,L).

```

If we run the corresponding program with the goal

```
?-can_use(X,spreadsheet).
```

we will obtain the following output:

```

X = sue
X = jerry
X = jerry
X = sam

```

Notice that jerry is derived twice, indicating that the program is doing some unnecessary work. Let's see why this happened. The object jerry will satisfy `can_use` once because jerry has access to mach3, which can run a spreadsheet directly. But the goal can also be derived from the second rule for `can_run` since Pascal can run on mach3 as well and the spreadsheet is written in Pascal.

The cut is considered to be a subgoal that is universally satisfied but that cannot be backed up over. It then stands as a one-way gate for the subgoal generation process, which, once passed, cannot be backed through.

In the previous example, the first rule for `can_run` could be rewritten with the cut as follows:

```

can_run(MACH,SW) :- spec(MACH,HW,MEM1),
                   runs(HW,SW,MEM2),
                   MEM1 >= MEM2,
                   !.

```

The cut is signified by an exclamation point (!).

After the first three subgoals are satisfied, the cut is then satisfied as well, completing the derivation of `can_run`. After the entire derivation is completed, the cut then prevents the backing up process from trying other alternatives for `can_run`. Thus unnecessary checking is avoided, since it is irrelevant how many ways a computer can run a software product.

Now the goal

```
?-can_use(X,spreadsheet).
```

will find only one derivation for each of the three people, and the derivation process is displayed in Figure 11.9. The derivation process, when backing up to a cut, will automatically produce a failure for all subgoals

FIGURE 11.9 Derivation of a goal in Prolog using cut

1. ?-can_use(X, spreadsheet).	R1/SW:=spreadsheet.
2. ?-access(X,M), can_run(M, spreadsheet).	F9/M:=mach1, X:=sue
3. ?-can_run(mach1, spreadsheet).	R2
4. ?-spec(mach1, HW, M1), runs(HW, spreadsheet, M2), M1>=M2, !.	F1/HW:=ibmpc, M1:=320
5. ?-runs(ibmpc, spreadsheet, M2), 320>=M2, !.	F4/M2:=500
6. ?-320>=500, !.	Fails
5. ?-runs(ibmpc, spreadsheet, M2), 320>=M2, !.	Fails
4. ?-spec(mach1, HW, M1), runs(HW, spreadsheet, M2), M1>=M2, !.	Fails
3. ?-can_run(mach1, spreadsheet).	R3
4. ?-written_in(spreadsheet, L), can_run(mach1, L).	F13/L:=pascal
5. ?-can_run(mach1, pascal).	R2
6. ?-spec(mach1, HW, M1), runs(HW, pascal, M2), M1>=M2, !.	F1/HW:=ibmpc, M1:=320
7. ?-runs(ibmpc, pascal, M2), 320>=M2, !.	F6/M2:=256
8. ?-320>=256, !.	satisfied
9. ?-! Skip backup because of cut - 5. completed	satisfied X=sue
4. ?-written_in(spreadsheet, L), can_run(mach1, L)	Fails
3. ?-can_run(mach1, spreadsheet).	Fails
2. ?-access(X,M), can_run(M, spreadsheet).	F10/X:=jerry, M:=mach3
3. ?-can_run(mach3, spreadsheet).	R2
4. ?-spec(mach3, HW, M1), runs(HW, spreadsheet, M2), M1>=M2, !.	F3/M1:=640, HW=ibmpc
5. ?-runs(ibmpc, spreadsheet, M2), 640>=M2, !.	F4/M2:=500
6. ?-640>=500, !.	satisfied
7. ?-! Skip backup because of cut - 3. completed	satisfied X=jerry
2. ?-access(X,M), can_run(M, spreadsheet).	F11/X:=sam, M:=mach1
3. ?-can_run(mach1, spreadsheet).	R2
4. ?-spec(mach1, HW, M1), runs(HW, spreadsheet, M2), M1>=M2, !.	F1/HW:=ibmpc, M1:=320
5. ?-runs(ibmpc, spreadsheet, M2), 320>=M2, !.	F4/M2:=500
6. ?-320>=500, !.	Fails
5. ?-runs(ibmpc, spreadsheet, M2), 320>=M2, !.	Fails
4. ?-spec(mach1, HW, M1), runs(HW, spreadsheet, M2), M1>=M2, !.	Fails
3. ?-can_run(mach1, spreadsheet).	R3
4. ?-written_in(spreadsheet, L), can_run(mach1, L).	F13/L:=pascal
5. ?-can_run(mach1, pascal).	R2
6. ?-spec(mach1, HW, M1), runs(HW, pascal, M2), M1>=M2, !.	F1/HW:=ibmpc, M1:=320
7. ?-runs(ibmpc, pascal, M2), 320>=M2, !.	F6/M2:=256
8. ?-320>=256, !.	satisfied
9. ?-! Skip backup because of cut - 5. completed	satisfied X=sam
4. ?-written_in(spreadsheet, L), can_run(mach1, L).	Fails
3. ?-can_run(mach1, spreadsheet).	Fails
2. ?-access(X,M), can_run(M, spreadsheet).	F12/X:=sam, M:=mach2
3. ?-can_run(mach2, spreadsheet).	R2
4. ?-spec(mach2, HW, M1), runs(HW, spreadsheet, M2), M1>=M2, !.	F2/HW:=mac, M1:=1000
5. ?-runs(mac, spreadsheet, M2), 1000>=M2, !.	Fails
4. ?-spec(mach2, HW, M1), runs(HW, spreadsheet, M2), M1>=M2, !.	Fails
3. ?-can_run(mach2, spreadsheet).	R3
4. ?-written_in(spreadsheet, L), can_run(mach2, L).	F13/L:=pascal
5. ?-can_run(mach2, pascal).	R2
6. ?-spec(mach2, HW, M1), runs(HW, pascal, M2), M1>=M2, !.	F2/HW:=mac, M1:=1000
7. ?-runs(mac, pascal, M2), M1>=M2, !.	Fails
6. ?-spec(mach2, HW, M1), runs(HW, pascal, M2), M1>=M2, !.	Fails
5. ?-can_run(mach2, pascal).	R3
6. ?-written_in(pascal, L), can_run(mach2, L).	Fails
5. ?-can_run(mach2, pascal).	Fails
4. ?-written_in(spreadsheet, L), can_run(mach2, L).	Fails
3. ?-can_run(mach2, spreadsheet).	Fails
2. ?-access(X,M), can_run(M, spreadsheet).	Fails
1. ?-can_use(X, spreadsheet).	Fails