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I. INTRODUCTION

In collaborative service-based health data sharing environments, participating services may host different sets of data about the same individuals, identified by some common properties. Each organization in such environments (e.g., testing labs, research institutes, etc.) manages its data access and usage through a specialized Web service end point through which users can submit queries. For instance, the Bio2RDF project incorporates data from several ontologies (e.g., NCBIGene, PharmKGB, DrugBank, CDT, and GeneCDS) using RDF (as a universal healthcare exchange language). Each repository defines an ontology (in OWL format) of all the concepts that can be searched for in a user’s query. OWL defines classes as a generic concept of individuals (e.g., Patient) and data type properties to link individuals of those classes to their data values (e.g., hasDisease). PharmKGB repository, for example, can be identified by the set of data type properties (drug, disease, gender, etc.) defined on the set of classes (Dosage, Drug, DrugGeneAssociations, etc.). To query instances in those repositories, a user submit different queries through SPARQL endpoints dedicated for each service. In each query, he can ask for different data type properties by which those instances are identified. Several privacy issues may arise in such environments. First, transforming such data sets into semantic data makes data linkage easier and machine processable. Second, dynamic composition of different data items (retrieved through participating Web services) may be misused by adversaries to reveal sensitive information, which was not deemed as such by the data owner at the time of data collection. For instance, a Clinical service may store the data items (Age, Gender,..., patientStatus), a Genomic service may store (Age, Gender,...,Gene, SNP ), and a Demographic service may store (Age, Gender,..., Employer, Address). Atomically, these data items may not reveal personally identifiable information, but linking those items may lead to unintended breach of privacy. Thus, the patient’s consent that is statically defined in a privacy policy may not be enough for data disclosure. These issues call for a privacy management solution that is, dynamic, context-sensitive, and semantic-based. Several researchers have provided enhancements to the performance of XACML PEP, such as efficiency, scalability, and adaptation [1], [2], [3], [4], [5], but few works provided enhancements to the PEP accuracy by enhancing the context handler. This work focus on enhancing the XACML PEP component accuracy by adding dynamic context handling. Few researchers have looked into dynamic policy evaluation as opposed to static policies [6]. Others have proposed context-aware privacy management systems [7], [8], [9], [10]. Our work is different from previous approaches for dynamic privacy management in that it does not dynamically update the original policy definitions, but implicitly incorporate context into rule evaluation. They also regulate rather than prevent the data access.

II. DYNAMIC PRIVACY MANAGEMENT

We implemented a dynamic privacy management framework on the top of the XACML reference architecture. According to a standard XACML engine, upon query submission, the PEP wraps the query into an XACML request and forwards it to the PDP, which communicates with the PIP to fetch the required attributes. In our system, the PIP communicates with a Semantic Handler (SH), which looks up the required attributes in the service’s repository. The PDP then uses the attribute values to evaluate the request. If a permit decision is returned, the PDP consults the semantic handler (via the PIP) for previously recorded context of the matching instances. The PDP then wraps the retrieved context set as an XACML obligation element and sends it over to the PEP together with the context handling obligation logic to be performed. The PEP uses the obligation to perform further check by communicating with the Semantic Handler (Fig. 1, 1). The Semantic handler passes the set of instances \( I_j \) that match the query together with the query \( Q_j \) to the Context Handler (Fig. 1, 2). The context handler consists of two sub components: the Classifier, which dynamically classifies a query as being potentially malicious or legitimate, and the Sensitive Data Detector, which dynamically determines the subset of data type properties in a query that could potentially be sensitive. The PEP uses the context \( CTXT \) to update the context block of each instance \( i_k \) in the set \( I_j \) that matches \( Q_j \) via the Semantic Handler (Fig. 1, 4). The PEP then uses \( CTXT \) to make the final decision through the Dynamic Rule Evaluator (Fig. 1, 5). Finally, the PEP sends the final response back to the user. For mathematical details and further description, we refer the reader to the extended work at [11].

III. EVALUATION

We conducted two case studies on two systems using two XACML engines and two performance testing frameworks (Table I). Our results indicate that on average, the percentage of the permitted decisions through a standard PEP is always higher than that of PEP with our context handler incorporated.
We provided an XACML-based implementation of a semantic-based privacy management framework that incorporates context into dynamic rule evaluation and decision enforcement. Our evaluation results are promising, and we believe that future enhancements on the current implementation can provide a foundation for modern health records infrastructures and inspire collaborative data sharing.

**TABLE I. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Plan</th>
<th>Java metrics</th>
<th>Jmeter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Subject system</td>
<td>E-HIP HPMS</td>
<td>Bio2RDF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environment</td>
<td>2GHz Intel Dual-Core i7 Mac, 8GB RAM, 64bit OS X 10.8.</td>
<td>2.8GHz Intel Quad-Core CPU, 8GB RAM, 32bit Windows Server 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XACML Engine</td>
<td>SunXACML</td>
<td>WSO2 IS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Threads (Users)</td>
<td>1, 2, 4, 8, 16, 32</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iterations</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>5, 10, 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policies</td>
<td>19 policies</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Requests</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10, 50, 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Properties</td>
<td>3, 6, 11</td>
<td>14, 28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IV. CONCLUSION

We provided an XACML-based implementation of a semantic-based privacy management framework that incorporates context into dynamic rule evaluation and decision enforcement. Our evaluation results are promising, and we believe that future enhancements on the current implementation can provide a foundation for modern health records infrastructures and inspire collaborative data sharing.
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